### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Agenda]

SPKR[Hohenfellner] MS[Austria] FCT[Chairman] LANG[1]

ITEM[Adoption of ther agenda]

TXT[2Adoption of the agenda

Letter dated 11 December 1991 from the Permanent Representative of Iraq addressed to the Chairman (continued)

Note verbale dated 13 February 1992 from the Acting Permanent

Representative of Jordan addressed to the Chairman

Note verbale dated 6 February 1992 from the Acting Permanent

Representative of Jordan addressed to the Chairman

Letter dated 12 February 1992 from the Permanent Representative of Iraq addressed to the Chairman

Communications under the "no-objection" procedure Other matters

The agenda was adopted.

### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Procedure]

SPKR[Hohenfellner] MS[Austria] FCT[Chairman] LANG[1]

ITEM[Letter dated 11 December 1991 from the Permanent

Representative of Iraq addressed to the chairman (continued)

(S/AC.25/1991/COMM.667, S/AC.25/1992/COMM.68)]

TXT[Drew attention to the letter dated 11 December 1991 from the Permanent Representative of Iraq (S/AC.25/1991/COMM.667) concerning the need for replacement parts to repair damage to two airfields in Iraq, and to the communication dated 27 January 1992 from the Chief Military Officer of the United Nations Iraq-Kuwait Observation Mission (UNIKOM) (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.68). He had been contacted by the Director of the Field Operations Division, who had confirmed that the airfields in question were in need of repair and that the request by Iraq did not seem unreasonable. He had also confirmed that the items numbered 1 to 5, 11 to 13 and 16 to 25 in the annex to the Iraqi letter did seem necessary. Items 6 to 10 and 14 and 15 represented complete systems and their necessity could therefore not be assessed.]

## ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Procedure]

SPKR[Hohenfellner] MS[Austria] FCT[Chairman] LANG[1]

ITEM[Letter dated 11 December 1991 from the Permanent

Representative of Iraq addressed to the chairman (continued)

(S/AC.25/1991/COMM.667, S/AC.25/1992/COMM.68)]

TXT[However, that did not mean that they were not required. He proposed that the Committee should proceed on the understanding it had reached at its 62nd meeting, namely that favourable consideration would be given to any request by supplier countries under the "no-objection" procedure for the export to Iraq of any of the equipment concerned, particularly that relating to items 1 to 5, 11 to 13 and 16 to 25. If he heard no objection, he would take it that the Committee agreed to that proposal. It was so decided.]

## ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Intervention]

SPKR[Ilitchev] MS[Russia] FCT[Member] LANG[1]

ITEM[Letter dated 11 December 1991 from the Permanent

Representative of Iraq addressed to the chairman (continued)

(S/AC.25/1991/COMM.667, S/AC.25/1992/COMM.68)]

TXT[Although the justification for the repair work cited in the Iraqi letter was that the United Nations was using the airfields in question, the recent special report by the Executive Chairman of the Special Commission (S/23606, para. 7) pointed out that obstacles were being imposed on the use of the airfields.]

### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] Type[Procedure] SPKR[Hohenfellner] MS[Austria] FCT[Chairman] LANG[1] ITEM[Letter dated 11 December 1991 from the Permanent Representative of Iraq addressed to the Chairman (continued) (S/AC.25/1991/COMM.667, S/AC.25/1992/COMM.68)] TXT[Promised to draw that information to the attention of the Permanent Representative of Iraq.]

### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Procedure]
SPKR[Hohenfellner] MS[Austria] FCT[Chairman] LANG[1]
ITEM[Note verbale dated 13 February 1992 from the Acting Permanent
Representative of Jordan addressed to the Chairman
(S/AC.25/1992/COMM.131)]
TXT[Drew attention to the note verbale dated 13 February 1992 from
the Acting Permanent Representative of Jordan
(S/AC.25/1992/COMM.131), which stated that on 7 February 1992 two
American vessels had intercepted a Dutch freighter and diverted it

American vessels had intercepted a Dutch freighter and diverted it from Aqaba to another port in order to inspect its lower storage chambers. The freighter had been carrying a cargo of frozen meat being imported from Holland for consumption in Jordan. The note verbale called for a solution to be found which would enable the freighter to reach its destination and discharge its cargo as soon as possible.]

## ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Intervention] SPKR[Moose] MS[United States] FCT[Member] LANG[1] ITEM[Note verbale dated 13 February 1992 from the Acting Permanent Representative of Jordan addressed to the Chairman (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.131)]

TXT[The communication from Jordan had been transmitted to his Government and that he would report back to the Committee in due course. He pointed out that the multilateral inspection force had informed all shipping and forwarding agents that cargo bound for Aqaba should be accessible for inspection, and that was now generally known. When such cargo was not accessible, the usual procedure was to divert ships to ports where their cargo could be inspected. His country had always sought to avoid undue disruption of goods bound for Jordan and had held frequent contacts with Jordanian officials to that effect. The recent decline in the number of complaints referred to the Committee was evidence of the success of that procedure.]

### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Procedure]
SPKR[Hohenfellner] MS[Austria] FCT[Chairman] LANG[1]
ITEM[Note verbale dated 13 February 1992 from the Acting Permanent
Representative of Jordan addressed to the Chairman
(S/AC.25/1992/COMM.131)]

TXT[Proposed that the Committee should authorize him to inform the

Jordanian Ambassador of the remarks made by the representative of the United States. The question could be considered further once a reply had been received from the United States authorities. If he heard no objection, he would take it that the Committee agreed to his proposal. It was so decided.]

### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Procedure]
SPKR[Hohenfellner] MS[Austria] FCT[Chairman] LANG[1]
ITEM[Note verbale dated 6 February 1992 from the Acting Permanent
Representative of Jordan addressed to the Chairman
(S/AC.25/1992/COMM.116)]
TXT[Drew attention to the note verbale dated 6 February 1992 from

the Acting Permanent Representative of Jordan (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.116), containing a report on the traffic of food and medical supplies to Iraq through the Traibil border point during the period from 25 to 31 January 1992. If he heard no objection, he would take it that the Committee wished to take note of that communication. It was so decided.]

### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Procedure]
SPKR[Hohenfellner] MS[Austria] FCT[Chairman] LANG[1]
ITEM[Letter dated 12 February 1992 from the Permanent
Representative of Iraq addressed to the Chairman
(S/AC.25/1992/COMM.126, S/AC.25/1991/NOTE/73)]
TXT[Drew attention to the letter dated 3 February 1992 from the
Permanent Representative of Iraq (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.126) requesting
that a letter be sent to the Permanent Mission of Cyprus to the
United Nations concerning frozen Iraqi funds in that country. He
pointed out that the Permanent Mission of Cyprus was among those
which had received the letter sent to 30 Governments on 17 June
1991, the text of which was contained in document
S/AC.25/1991/NOTE/73. He therefore asked the Committee to authorize
him to inform the Permanent Representative of Iraq of that fact. It
was so decided.]

## ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Procedure] SPKR[Hohenfellner] MS[Austria] FCT[Chairman] LANG[1] ITEM[Communications under the "no-objection" procedure (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.109, 121, 129)] TXT[Drew attention to three communications from India, the first containing a request for approval of the sale to Iraq of diesel engine pump sets, centrifugal water pumps, and diesel engines (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.109), the second containing a request for approval of the export to Iraq of transformer oil and conductors (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.121), and the third containing a request for approval for supplying Iraq with power equipment (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.129).]

### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Intervention] SPKR[Moose] MS[United States] FCT[Member] LANG[1] ITEM[Communications under the "no-objection" procedure (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.109, 121, 129)] TXT[His delegation had previously asked for the request contained in document S/AC.25/1992/COMM.109 to be kept pending. It was now

willing to lift its objection to the small diesel pumps, but it wished to maintain its position with regard to the large diesel engines pending the availability of further information from India concerning the intended use of the pumps.]

#### ISSUE[IRAO/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Procedure]
SPKR[Hohenfellner] MS[Austria] FCT[Chairman] LANG[1]
ITEM[Communications under the "no-objection" procedure
(S/AC.25/1992/COMM.109, 121, 129)]
TXT[Would transmit that information to the Permanent Representative of Iraq.]

## ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Intervention]
SPKR[Rae] MS[India] FCT[Member] LANG[1]
ITEM[Communications under the "no-objection" procedure
(S/AC.25/1992/COMM.109, 121, 129)]
TXT[Referring to the request contained in document
S/AC.25/1992/COMM.121, said that additional information had been obtained from the company intending to supply the items in question. The conductors were to be used for transmission lines connecting the power stations to distribution grids. The existing conductors had been damaged during the war and needed replacement. The transformer oil was a coolant which required periodic replacement. The company had also mentioned that a request had been submitted previously for the supply of similar conductors to Iraq. He asked whether the Secretariat could provide confirmation of that information.]

#### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Intervention] SPKR[Cliff] MS[United Kingdom] FCT[Member] LANG[1] ITEM[Communications under the "no-objection" procedure (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.109, 121, 129)] TXT[His delegation had asked for the request concerning conductors to be kept pending, as it was concerned that they might be used for enhancing Iraqi infrastructures rather than repairing existing facilities. He would ask whether it was possible to withdraw that objection. There was no objection to the transformer oil.]

### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Intervention] SPKR[Moose] MS[United States] FCT[Member] LANG[1] ITEM[Communications under the "no-objection" procedure (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.109, 121, 129)] TXT[Thanked the representative of India for the information he had provided. His delegation had asked for the requests to be kept pending, but he would convey the information to his Government and report back to the Committee.]

### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Procedure] SPKR[Qiu] MS[PSCA] FCT[Secretary] LANG[1] ITEM[Communications under the "no-objection" procedure (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.109, 121, 129)] TXT[The Secretariat would look into the question raised by the representative of India and if any relevant information was

available it would be passed on to the Committee.]

### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Intervention] SPKR[Shigeie] MS[Japan] FCT[Member] LANG[1] ITEM[Communications under the "no-objection" procedure (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.109, 121, 129)] TXT[His delegation had also asked for the request concerning transformer oil to be kept pending until more information was available. It was now willing to withdraw its objection.]

#### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Intervention] SPKR[Cliff] MS[United Kingdom] FCT[Member] LANG[1] ITEM[Communications under the "no-objection" procedure (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.109, 121, 129)]

TXT[Referring to the request for approval for supplying Iraq with power equipment contained in document S/AC.25/1992/COMM.129, said that his delegation had objected to the request on account of the large amount of equipment concerned, valued at \$64.79 million, since it was felt that it would enhance Iraqi infrastructures. However, it was willing to reconsider the matter if India could provide further information.]

## ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Intervention] SPKR[Rae] MS[India] FCT[Member] LANG[1] ITEM[Communications under the "no-objection" procedure (S/AC.25/1992/COMM.109, 121, 129)] TXT[His delegation would take note of the remarks by the representative of the United Kingdom and would attempt to provide further information.]

#### ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Procedure]
SPKR[Hohenfellner] MS[Austria] FCT[Chairman] LANG[1]
ITEM[Communications under the "no-objection" procedure
(S/AC.25/1992/COMM.109, 121, 129)]
TXT[Commended the Committee on the pragmatic way it had been dealing with items under the "no-objection" procedure, through the use of bilateral contacts. He hoped to see that process continue,

# ISSUE[IRAQ/KUWAIT]

CAT[661] MTG[64] DATE[2/20/92] TYPE[Procedure] SPKR[Hohenfellner] MS[Austria] FCT[Chairman] LANG[1] ITEM[Other matters]

since it greatly facilitated the Committee's work.]

TXT[Announced that during the coming week he intended to hold bilateral consultations with all members of the Committee on the proposal made in December 1991 by the non-aligned caucus concerning the possible extension of the notification procedure.]